A Publication of Reliable Methods for the Preparation of Organic Compounds

## Working with Hazardous Chemicals

The procedures in Organic Syntheses are intended for use only by persons with proper training in experimental organic chemistry. All hazardous materials should be handled using the standard procedures for work with chemicals described in references such as "Prudent Practices in the Laboratory" (The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C., 2011; the full text can be accessed free of charge at http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=12654). All chemical waste should be disposed of in accordance with local regulations. For general guidelines for the management of chemical waste, see Chapter 8 of Prudent Practices.

In some articles in Organic Syntheses, chemical-specific hazards are highlighted in red "Caution Notes" within a procedure. It is important to recognize that the absence of a caution note does not imply that no significant hazards are associated with the chemicals involved in that procedure. Prior to performing a reaction, a thorough risk assessment should be carried out that includes a review of the potential hazards associated with each chemical and experimental operation on the scale that is planned for the procedure. Guidelines for carrying out a risk assessment and for analyzing the hazards associated with chemicals can be found in Chapter 4 of Prudent Practices.

The procedures described in Organic Syntheses are provided as published and are conducted at one's own risk. Organic Syntheses, Inc., its Editors, and its Board of Directors do not warrant or guarantee the safety of individuals using these procedures and hereby disclaim any liability for any injuries or damages claimed to have resulted from or related in any way to the procedures herein.

September 2014: The paragraphs above replace the section "Handling and Disposal of Hazardous Chemicals" in the originally published version of this article. The statements above do not supersede any specific hazard caution notes and safety instructions included in the procedure. AND ITS REACTION WITH ALDEHYDES
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Submitted by Huikai Sun and William R. Roush. ${ }^{1}$
Checked by David Hughes. ${ }^{2}$

## 1. Procedure

A. (+)-B-allyldiisopinocampheylborane ((+)-(Ipc) ${ }_{2} B($ allyl $)$ or $\left({ }^{l} I p c\right)_{2} B($ allyl $\left.)\right)(1)$. A $500-\mathrm{mL}, 3$-necked oven-dried round-bottomed flask equipped with a $3-\mathrm{cm}$ oval Teflon-coated magnetic stir bar is fitted with a gas inlet adapter connected to a nitrogen line and a gas bubbler. The other two necks are capped with rubber septa; a thermocouple probe is inserted through one of the septa. (Note 1) The flask is charged with ( + )-Bmethoxydiisopinocampheylborane $\left((+)-(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}\right)(13.3 \mathrm{~g}, 42.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.25 equiv) (Note 2 ) and diethyl ether ( 45 mL ), which results in a clear and colorless solution. The solution is cooled to $3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice/water bath and vigorously stirred. Allylmagnesium bromide solution ( 1.0 M in diethyl ether, $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.20$ equiv) (Notes 2 and 3 ) is then added dropwise over 20 min via a 60 mL disposable syringe with 18 -gauge needle, maintaining the temperature below $6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A large amount of white solids (presumably $\mathrm{MgBr}(\mathrm{OMe}))$ precipitate during the addition. After the addition is complete, the ice/water bath is removed, then the reaction mixture is vigorously stirred (Note 4) for 1 h at room temperature. The resulting $\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$ mixture in ether is used immediately in the next step without further purification (Note 5).
B. (2R,3R)-1-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylhex-5-en-3-ol

The heterogeneous mixture of $\left({ }^{l} \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$ generated in Step A is cooled to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a dry ice/acetone bath under vigorous stirring, then a solution of (R)-3-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylpropanal (2) ( $10.9 \mathrm{~g}, 33.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.00 equiv) (Note 6) in diethyl ether ( 25 mL ) is added dropwise over 20 min via syringe (Note 7), maintaining the temperature below $-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture is vigorously stirred at -70 to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h (Note 8), then the dry ice/acetone bath is removed, and the reaction mixture is allowed to warm to room temperature $\left(22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ over 1 h . The reaction mixture is cooled to $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an ice/water bath. A $125-\mathrm{mL}$ gas equilibrating dropping funnel is attached to the flask, moving the gas adapter from one neck of the flask to the top of the dropping funnel. A premixed solution of 3 M NaOH $(64 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(26 \mathrm{~mL})$ (Note 9) is carefully added via the dropping funnel over 10 min (exothermic), keeping the temperature below $15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ over 3 min via the dropping funnel (Note 10). The resulting biphasic mixture is vigorously stirred for 10 h at room temperature (Note 11) to completely hydrolyze borinate ester products, then the organic phase is separated, and the aqueous phase is extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After the combined organic layers are washed with brine ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the ether solution is transferred to a 1-L round-bottomed flask, equipped with a $3-\mathrm{cm}$, egg-shaped Teflon-coated stir bar, then THF ( 150 mL ), water ( 80 mL ) and iron (II) sulfate heptahydrate salt ( 15.0 g ) are added (Note 12). The flask is fitted with a gas inlet adapter connected to a nitrogen line and a gas bubbler. The resulting mixture is vigorously stirred for 14 h , then the organic phase is separated and the aqueous phase is extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers are washed with brine ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over 100 g of anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and vacuum filtered through a medium porosity sintered-glass funnel. The filtrate is concentrated $\left(40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ bath temperature, 100 mmHg initial to 20 mmHg ) by rotary evaporation to give a light yellow residue ( 32 g ), containing a 93:7 mixture of $\mathbf{3}$ and its anti diastereomer (5) (Note 13). This mixture is purified by column chromatography (Note 14) to provide $9.1-9.4 \mathrm{~g}(74-77 \%)$ of $>98 \%$ pure $(2 R, 3 R)$-1-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylhex-5-en-3-ol 3 (Note 15) as a colorless oil.

## 2. Notes

1. The internal temperature was monitored using a J-Kem Gemini digital thermometer with a Teflon-coated T-Type thermocouple probe (12inch length, $1 / 8$ inch outer diameter, temperature range -200 to $+250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
2. (+)-B-Methoxydiisopinocampheylborane was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. The submitters stored and transferred this material in the glove box. The checker stored it in the freezer and weighed and transferred (rapidly) in open air. Diethyl ether (anhydrous, ACS reagent) and allylmagnesium bromide ( 1.0 M in diethyl ether, stored in refrigerator) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received.
3. The specified amounts of $(+)-(\operatorname{Ipc})_{2} B O M e ~(1.25 ~ e q u i v) ~ a n d ~$ allylmagnesium bromide ( 1.20 equiv) are used in order to generate a sufficient amount of allylborane $\mathbf{1}$ to completely consume 1.0 equiv of aldehyde 2 in the allylboration step. Unreacted aldehyde (8-10\%) remains at the end of the allylboration reaction when 1.0 equiv of both $(+)-(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ and allylmagnesium bromide are used. A slight excess of $(+)-(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ is used over allylmagnesium bromide in order to ensure complete consumption of the latter before addition of the aldehyde; the submitters observed that the allylboration diastereoselectivity decreased by $1-2 \%$ if a slight excess of $(+)$ $(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ is not used.
4. The stirring of the thick mixture should be vigorous but balanced. Solids that stick on the walls above the mixture may contain entrapped reagent, perhaps in the form of the ate complex indicated in equation $1,{ }^{3}$ and cannot be washed back into the reaction mixture. In such cases, unreacted aldehyde is often observed after conclusion of the allylboration reaction.

equation 1
5. (-)-B-allyldiisopinocampheylborane ((-)-(Ipc) $)_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ (allyl) or $\left({ }^{d} \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$ ), can be prepared using the same procedure starting from (-)-B-methoxydiisopinocampheylborane ((-)-(Ipc) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}\right)$. The ( Ipc$)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl) reagents are sensitive to air and moisture.
6. (R)-3-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylpropanal 2 was prepared (10.0-12.0 g scale) according to the procedure of Marshall et al. ${ }^{4}$ and obtained in $75-80 \%$ yield as a white solid following column chromatography with $3 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes with $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-26.4\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c}=1.8\right)$.

Aldehyde 2 was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for up to 2 weeks prior to use without racemization (as determined by measuring its optical rotation and ee determination of the allylation product 3 ).
7. An oven-dried, $100-\mathrm{mL}$, single-necked, round-bottomed flask was charged with $(R)-3$-( $t$-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylpropanal 2 ( 10.9 g ), then 25 mL of diethyl ether was added, and the mixture was swirled to completely dissolve the aldehyde. The resulting solution was transferred to the reaction mixture via a 40 mL disposable syringe with a 15 cm needle ( 18 gauge) over 20 min . Additional diethyl ether ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was used to rinse the flask and then was added to the reaction mixture over 1 min . For the addition of the aldehyde solution to the cold reaction mixture, the tip of the syringe needle should be kept $>5 \mathrm{~cm}$ above the surface and added at a steady rate to prevent crystallization of the aldehyde in the syringe. The submitters used a syringe pump for this addition.
8. The progress of the reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy monitoring the aldehyde proton at $\delta 9.8$. (Typical procedure for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis: an aliquot of the reaction mixture was quickly transferred via a syringe to a small vial containing methanol $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . The solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.)
9. $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was purchased from Fisher Chemical Company, stored at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and used as received.
10. The oxidative hydrolysis was not complete in 16 h at ambient temperature or under reflux without addition of the saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The pH of the hydrolysis reaction mixture after bicarbonate addition was 11 .
11. The progress of the oxidative hydrolysis was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent (An aliquot of the organic layer was evaporated to dryness and the residue dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.) Completion of the oxidative hydrolysis was indicated by disappearance of the mutiplet resonances of the internal olefin hydrogen $(\delta 5.78-5.68)$ and terminal olefin hydrogens ( $\delta 5.05-4.95$ ) in the intermediate borinate ester (e.g., ROBIpc 2 ). In comparison, the chemical shift range of the multiplet of the corresponding olefinic hydrogens in the major product $\mathbf{3}$ are from $\delta 5.92-5.81$ and 5.16 5.09. The hydrolysis was complete within 1.5 h in the hands of the submitters but typically required $8-10 \mathrm{~h}$ for the checkers. Agitation efficiency of the 3-phase mixture (aqueous, organic, solids) may affect the reaction rate.
12. Isopinocampheyl hydroperoxide $\mathbf{4}$ is produced in the reaction. This compound migrates with the syn product $\mathbf{3}$ on the TLC plate (EMD, silica gel, grade 60 , F254) in this exemplified allylboration reaction, so the iron (II) sulfate reduction step is included to ensure that hydroperoxide 4 is completely consumed prior to product isolation. A reference sample of 4 was prepared by stirring a solution of $\mathrm{Ipc}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ in THF under air for 1 h . This solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a premixed solution of 3 N NaOH $(2.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred for 1.5 h . The organic phase was separated and hydroperoxide 4 was separated from isopinocampheol (1:4 mixture, respectively) by column chromatography using 1:10 $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexanes as eluent $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.5,1: 5 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$-hexanes, staining with PMA).
13. The reaction diastereoselectivity was determined as follows. A small sample of the crude reaction product ( 80 mg ) was purified (collecting all fractions containing product with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.4$ to 0.5 ) by flash column chromatography ( 8 g silica gel) using ether/hexanes $=1 / 8$ as the eluent to provide a mixture of three products. This mixture was analyzed by the submitters using normal phase HPLC ( $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, 4.6 X 250 mm Varian column, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{3})=16.2 \mathrm{~min}$; $\left.\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{5})=13.9 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{6})=13.0 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. The checkers used a reverse phase assay to analyze diastereomeric ratios using an Agilent 1100 HPLC system; Ascentis Express C-18 fused-core column, $4.6 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.7$ um particle size; $1.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow; temperature $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; detection at 210 nm ; gradient elution from $50 / 50 \mathrm{MeCN} /$ water containing $0.1 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / 5 \%$ aq. over $\left.14 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{3}) 9.6 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{5}) 9.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{6}) 11.6 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. The crude reaction product was determined to contain $(2 R, 3 R)-1-(t-$ butyldiphenylsilyloxy-2-methylhex-5-en-3-ol (3) (90\%), (2R,3S)-1-(t-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylhex-5-en-3-ol (5) (7\%), and ( $2 R, 3 R$ )-1-( $t-$ butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methyl-5-methyleneoct-7-en-3-ol (6) (3\%). The stereochemistry of the hydroxyl group of $\mathbf{6}$ is assumed, by analogy to the stereochemistry of the major product of the reaction (3). A use test (by direct reaction with aldehyde 2) indicated that the solutions of allylmagnesium bromide in diethyl ether contain ca. 3\% of 2-((bromomagnesium)methyl)-1,4-pentadiene.

14. The crude product was purified by three flash column chromatography steps owing to the difficulty of separating the syn diastereomer $\mathbf{3}$ from the anti diastereomer $\mathbf{5}$ and the byproduct $\mathbf{6}$. The first chromatography was performed using 300 g of silica gel (Fisher, 230400 mesh, $60 \AA$ ) in a 5 cm diameter column using $10: 1$ hexanes-diethyl ether as the eluent ( $18-\mathrm{mL}$ fractions). All fractions were analyzed by TLC (1:10 $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexanes, 3 developments, staining with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ solution), as depicted

Developed 3 times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes $=1 / 10$, stained with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ solution.

graphically below. Early fractions contained 0.7 g of a mixture of 5 and predominantly by-product 6 . Late eluting fractions without detectable anti diastereomer 5 were pooled, giving 3.7 g of syn diastereomer 3. The intermediate fractions, containing 8.1 g of a mixture of $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were pooled and subjected to a second chromatography as described above ( 250 g silica gel, 5 cm diameter column). Early eluting fractions containing predominantly 5, $\mathbf{6}$ and a small amount of $\mathbf{3}$ were discarded. Late eluting fractions, without detectable anti diastereomer 5 according to TLC analysis, were combined to give an additional 3.4 g of syn diastereomer 3 . The intermediate, mixed fractions, consisting of 4.5 g of a mixture of $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were subjected to a third column chromatography ( 200 g of silica gel in a

5 cm diameter column). This provided an additional 2.3 g of essentially pure syn diastereomer 3, along with $1.5 \mathrm{~g}(12 \%$ yield) of mixed fractions that consisted of mixture 3 (ca. 80\%), 4 (ca. 20\%) and 6 (ca. $1 \%$ ). The latter fraction could be subjected to additional purification if desired. The three main fractions of syn diastereomer 3 were combined, giving 9.4 g ( $77 \%$ yield) which contained $1.3 \%$ of anti diastereomer 5 and $0.2 \%$ of byproduct $\mathbf{6}$ according to HPLC analysis as described in note 13 .
15. The submitters obtained pure samples of $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ by preparative HPLC for spectroscopic analysis ( $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes, $18.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $21.4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ Varian Dynamax column, Microsorb 60-8; $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{3})=9.8 \mathrm{~min}$; $\left.\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{5})=9.1 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{6})=8.3 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. The enantiomers of both $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ have been synthesized and characterized previously. ${ }^{5}$ The checker prepared a mixture of the $(2 S, 3 S)$ and $(2 S, 3 R)$ diastereomers by the same protocol starting with ( $S$ )-3-( $t$-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylpropanal and (+)-Bmethoxydiisopinocampheylborane, which produced a 78:22 mixture of $(2 S$, $3 R):(2 S, 3 S)$ diastereomers.

The syn product 3 exhibits the following physical and spectroscopic properties: colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}=+3.7\left(\mathrm{c}=1.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70$ and 3.77 (ABX, $J=10.1,4.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.97$ (m, 1 H ), 5.09-5.16 (m, 2 H ), 5.81-5.92 (m, 1 H), 7.307.48 (m, 6 H ), 7.67-7.71 (m, 4 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 10.5$, 19.4, 27.1, 39.1, 39.3, 68.7, 73.5, 117.3, 128.0, 130.00, 130.04, 133.2, 133.4, 135.79, 135.81, 135.9; IR (KBr) 3468, 2955, 2858, 1606, 1515, 1471, 1427, $1112,701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LC-MS calcd for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NaO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right) 391.6$, found, $391.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. Purity by reverse phase HPLC was $>98 \%$ (see note 13 for method), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (3) $9.6 \mathrm{~min}(98.3 \%) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (5) $9.8 \mathrm{~min}(1.3 \%) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (6) 11.6 min $(0.2 \%)$; $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{R}} 9.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (unknown, $0.2 \%$ ). A reverse phase chiral HPLC assay was developed to separate the $(2 S, 3 S)$ and $(2 R, 3 R)$ enantiomers: OJ-RH ( $150 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mathrm{um}$ ) isocratic $60 \% \mathrm{MeCN}(\mathrm{pH} 3.5,2 \mathrm{mM}$ ammonium formate), $40 \%$ aqueous ( $\mathrm{pH} 3.5,2 \mathrm{mM}$ ammonium formate), $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, ambient temp, $215 \mathrm{~nm}, 20$ min method time; $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{3})(2 R, 3 R) 11.5 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(2 S$, $3 S) 13.2 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(5)(2 R, 3 S)$ and $(2 S, 3 R)$ co-elute 12.5 min . The enantiomeric purity of $\mathbf{3}$ was $99.0 \%$ indicating that aldehyde $\mathbf{2}$ did not racemize during its preparation and application in the exemplified procedure. An analytical sample of $\mathbf{3}$ was prepared by dissolving $\sim 100 \mathrm{mg}$ of the product from the pooled chromatographies in 5 mL of diethyl ether, filtering through a 0.45 micron PTFE syringe filter, and concentrating to
dryness under vacuum for 16 h . Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ : C, 74.95 ; H , 8.75; found: C, 74.85; H, 8.78.

The anti product 5 exhibits the following physical and spectroscopic properties: colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}=-2.6\left(\mathrm{c}=0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-$ $2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ and 3.77 (ABX, $J=10.0,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.88-$ 5.98 (m, 1 H ), 7.38-7.45 (m, 6 H), 7.67-7.69 (m, 4 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 13.4,19.1,26.8,39.4,39.5,68.6,75.1,117.2,127.8,129.8,132.9$, 135.3, 135.6, 135.7; IR (KBr) 3496, 2959, 2930, 2858, 1589, 1472, 1427, 1390, 1112, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LC-MS calcd for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NaO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ 391.6, found, $391.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$.

The side product 6 exhibits the following physical and spectroscopic properties: colorless oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07$ (s, 9 H ), 1.76-1.80 (m, 1 H), 2.20-2.28 (m, 2 H$), 2.49$ (d, $J=3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68$ and 3.73 (ABX, $J=10.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.78-5.88 (m, 1 H ), 7.38-7.46 (m, 6 H ), 7.66-7.70 (m, 4 H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 10.4,19.2,26.9,39.3,40.6,41.1,68.0,70.8,112.9$, 116.5, 127.7, 129.7, 129.8, 133.1, 133.3, 135.6, 135.7, 136.1, 145.3; IR (KBr) 3400, 2928, 2859, 1607, 1515, 1470, 1463, 1455, 1112, 822, 702, 505 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ LC-MS calcd for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NaO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right) 431.6$, found, $431.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$.

Isopinocampheyl hydroperoxide 4 exhibits the following physical and spectroscopic properties: colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}=+33.2\left(\mathrm{c}=0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.22 (s, 3 H ), 1.79-1.86 (m, 2 H ), 1.90-1.95 (m, 1 H ), $2.00-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ (ddd, $J=3.6,4.4$ and 8.8 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 1.41,23.61,27.30$, 32.48, 33.56, 38.40, 40.87, 42.14, 47.24, 84.99; IR (KBr) 3391, 2908, 1453, 1367, 1158, $1035 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LC-MS calcd for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ 152.1, found, $152 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$. This compound is readily reduced by $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to give isopinocampheol.

## Safety and Waste Disposal Information

All hazardous materials should be handled and disposed of in accordance with "Prudent Practices in the Laboratory"; National Academy Press; Washington, DC, 1995.

## 3. Discussion

Chiral allylmetal reagents are valuable intermediates in organic synthesis, and are especially useful for the synthesis of enantioenriched homoallylic alcohols. ${ }^{6}$ Since the first chiral allylborane reagent was reported by Hoffman in 1978, ${ }^{7}$ many more efficient and practical chiral allylmetal reagents or allylmetallation procedures have been reported, ${ }^{6}$ including those by Brown, ${ }^{8}$ Roush, ${ }^{5,9}$ Corey, ${ }^{10}$ Leighton, ${ }^{11}$ Denmark, ${ }^{12}$ Soderquist, ${ }^{13}$ Hall ${ }^{14}$ and Krische. ${ }^{15}$ The present enantioselective aldehyde allylation procedure developed by Brown and his coworkers is one of the most widely adopted methods as it employs commercially available $(+)-(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ and commercially available allylmagnesium bromide for synthesis of the $\left({ }^{l} \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$ reagent; ${ }^{8 b}$ the enantiomeric $\left({ }^{d} \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$ species can be accessed from commercially available (-)-(Ipc) $)_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ by using the same protocol.

The $(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$ reagents were prepared in Brown's original procedure ${ }^{8 b}$ by treating $(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ with allylmagnesium bromide at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then allowing the reaction mixture to warm to rt over 1 h . The procedure described herein follows an alternative protocol subsequently published by Brown, in which allylmagnesium bromide is added to a solution of ( Ipc$)_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ in diethyl ether at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then stirring the reaction mixture at rt for $1 \mathrm{~h} .^{3}$ The allylboration of aldehydes can be performed after removal of the magnesium salts by filtration under an inert atmosphere (salt free procedure), which is reported to provide much improved enantioselectivites. ${ }^{3}$ However, the procedure described here, by performing the allylboration in the presence of the magnesium salts, is more convenient as it avoids filtration and extra manipulations of the moisture and air sensitive allylborane species.

We found that use of slight excesses of both (Ipc) $)_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ ( 1.25 equiv) and allylmagnesium bromide ( 1.2 equiv) were needed in order to achieve complete allylboration of aldehyde $\mathbf{2}$. Generally, the secondary alcohol products can be isolated following oxidative hydrolytic workup by treating the intermediate borinate esters with a solution of 3 N sodium hydroxide and $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide under reflux for several hours or at rt for 16 h . However, we found that the hydrolysis did not proceed to completion in the allylboration reaction reported here unless a solution of sat. sodium bicarbonate was also added. These optimized workup conditions not only
resulted in complete oxidative hydrolysis in 10 h at room temperature, but also led to formation of two clear phases that facilitated the separation process. The submitters also found that isopinocampheyl hydroperoxide 4 is produced in $4-6 \%$ yield. While the origin of 4 has not been rigorously established, it seems likely that it arises via a radical process when the borinate ester intermediates are exposed to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ during reaction workup. ${ }^{16}$ Hydroperoxide $\mathbf{4}$ is difficult to separate from syn product $\mathbf{3}$ in this exemplified reaction, so the submitters further modified the reaction workup by addition of an aqueous solution of iron (II) sulfate to reduce hydroperoxide $\mathbf{4}$ before product purification via column chromatography. ${ }^{17}$

The submitters also identified (2R, 3R)-1-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methyl-5-methyleneoct-7-en-3-ol ( $6,3 \%$ according to HPLC analysis) as a by-product of this procedure. A use test (by direct reaction with aldehyde 2) indicated that the solutions of allylmagnesium bromide in diethyl ether contains ca. 3\% of 2-((bromomagnesium)methyl)-1,4-pentadiene. Production of analogous products deriving from 2-((bromomagnesium)methyl)-1,4-pentadiene as a contaminant of allylmagnesium bromide have not been described in the literature, to the best of the knowledge of the submitters. Whether the formation of this byproduct can be avoided by using freshly prepared allylmagnesium bromide has not been determined.

Reactions of ( Ipc$)_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ (allyl) reagents with achiral aldehydes are reported to furnish the corresponding secondary homoallylic alcohols with 80 to $95 \%$ enantiomeric excess, as shown in Table 1. The substrate scope has been extended in the literature to a large number of aliphatic aldehydes, aromatic aldehydes and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes. ${ }^{6 f}$

Table 1. Allylboration of achiral aldehydes with $\left({ }^{d} \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$ or $\left({ }^{\text {Ipc }}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ (allyl)
Entry
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Isolated yields; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ see reference $18 ;{ }^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{lpc})_{2} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{allyl})$ was prepared from the corresponding (lpc) 2 BCl

Allylboration of $\alpha$-chiral aldehydes with (Ipc) $)_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ (allyl) reagents are also reported to produce secondary homoallylic alcohols in excellent diastereoselectivity and in good to excellent yields as shown in Table 2. ${ }^{8 c, 19}$ In most cases (entries 1-3), with aldehyde substrates with very modest diastereofacial biases, the facial selectivity of the reaction is completely reversed upon switching the chirality of the chiral allylborane reagents. Numerous other applications of the use of the ( Ipc$)_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ (allyl) reagents in matched and mismatched double asymmetric reactions with chiral aldehydes are summarized in the cited review literature. ${ }^{6,6 \mathrm{f}}$

Table 2. Allylboration of $\alpha$-chiral aldehydes with $\left({ }^{d} \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$ or $\left({ }^{l} \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$

Entry | aldehyde |
| :---: |
| conditions |
| ${ }^{\left({ }^{( } 1 \mathrm{lpc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}(\text { allyl })}$ |
| $\left.{ }^{( } \mathrm{lpc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $)$ |

2


$\left({ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{allyl}) \quad 96$
(lpc) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ (allyl)
2


4
80\%
98
$78 \%$

3

$\left({ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{lpc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{allyl})$
$\left({ }^{\text {l } \mathrm{lpc})_{2} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{allyl})}\right.$

94
4


6
80\%
96
75\%

4



33
68\%
98
70\%
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## Appendix Chemical Abstracts Nomenclature; (Registry Number)

$(+)$-B-Allyldiisopinocampheylborane $\left((+)-(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{~B}(\right.$ allyl $)$ or $\left({ }^{l} \mathrm{Ipc}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}($ allyl $\left.)\right)$; (106356-53-0)
(+)-B-Methoxydiisopinocampheylborane ((+)-(Ipc) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}\right)$; (99438-28-5) Allylmagnesium bromide; (1730-25-2)
( $R$ )-3-( $t$-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylpropanal: Propanal, 3-[[(1,1dimethylethyl)diphenylsilyl] oxy]-2-methyl-, (2R)-; (112897-04-8)
Hydrogen peroxide; (7722-84-1)
(1R,2R,3R,5S)-2,6,6-Trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3-ol; (+)isopinocampheol; (24041-60-9)
$(2 R, 3 R)$-1-( $t$-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylhex-5-en-3-ol (2R,3S)-1-( $t$-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methylhex-5-en-3-ol (2R,3R)-1-( $t$-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)-2-methyl-5-methyleneoct-7-en-3-ol (1S,2S,3S,5R)-3-hydroperoxy-2,6,6-trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane; (+)isopinocampheylperoxide
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